Alcoholic liver disease (ALD) is a major cause of chronic liver disease worldwide and can lead to fibrosis and cirrhosis. The latest surveillance report published by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism showed that liver cirrhosis was the 12th leading cause of death in the United States, with a total of 29,925 deaths in 2007, 48% of which were alcohol related. The spectrum of ALD includes simple steatosis, alcoholic hepatitis, fibrosis, cirrhosis, and superimposed hepatocellular carcinoma. Early work on the pathogenesis of the disease focused on ethanol metabolism–associated oxidative stress and glutathione depletion, abnormal methionine metabolism, malnutrition, and production of endotoxins that activate Kupffer cells. We review findings from recent studies that have characterized specific intracellular signaling pathways, transcriptional factors, aspects of innate immunity, chemokines, epigenetic features, microRNAs, and stem cells that are associated with ALD, improving our understanding of its pathogenesis. Despite this progress, no targeted therapies are available. The cornerstone of treatment for alcoholic hepatitis remains as it was 40 years ago: abstinence, nutritional support, and corticosteroids. There is an urgent need to develop new pathophysiology-oriented therapies. Recent translational studies of human samples and animal models have identified promising therapeutic targets.
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Alcohol consumption is a major risk factor for chronic disease worldwide; it accounted for 3.8% of all deaths in 2004. It is also a major cause of chronic liver disease in Western countries. Alcohol-related liver deaths account for up to 48% of cirrhosis-associated deaths in the United States and are also major contributors to liver disease–related mortality in other countries. Research on alcoholic liver disease (ALD) has been rapidly growing since the early 1960s; at that time, Lieber et al used experimental models to show that alcohol is a true hepatotoxin, which causes hepatocellular damage, and that ALD is not simply caused by malnutrition. Early studies indicated that ethanol metabolism–associated oxidative stress, glutathione depletion, abnormal methionine metabolism, malnutrition, ethanol-mediated induction of leakage of gut endotoxins, and subsequent activation of Kupffer cells have important roles in the pathogenesis of ALD. We review advances made in the past 10 years in our understanding of the roles of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) signaling, innate immunity, and transcription factors in the pathogenesis of ALD. We also review recent studies showing that alcohol mediates changes in epigenetic features, microRNAs (miRNAs), and stem cells, which could also contribute to ALD.

Despite the profound economic and health impact of ALD, little progress has been made in the management of patients with this severe clinical condition. There are no modern diagnostic tools to assess individual susceptibility to the development of ALD, and the pathogenesis of ALD in humans is incompletely understood. As a consequence, no new drugs for ALD have been successfully developed since the early 1970s, at which time the use of corticosteroids was proposed for the treatment of severe alcoholic hepatitis. The poor therapeutic progress in the field of ALD has, in part, resulted from the lack of experimental models of advanced ALD and from difficulties in performing clinical trials in patients with an active addiction. We review several potential targets that could generate therapeutic interventions for ALD.
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Spectrum, Risk Factors, and Comorbidities

ALD presents as a broad spectrum of disorders, ranging from simple fatty liver to more severe forms of liver injury, including alcoholic hepatitis (AH), cirrhosis, and superimposed hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (Figure 1). Fatty liver, an early response to alcohol consumption, develops in most (more than 90%) heavy drinkers, with early-mild steatosis in zone 3 (perivenular) hepatocytes; it can also affect zone 2 and even zone 1 (periportal) hepatocytes when liver injury is more severe. Interestingly, only about 30% of heavy drinkers develop more severe forms of ALD, such as advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis. In patients with underlying ALD and heavy alcohol intake, episodes of superimposed AH may occur. In severe cases and in patients with liver cirrhosis, AH leads to severe complications related to liver failure and portal hypertension and has high short-term mortality.

The fact that only about 35% of heavy drinkers develop advanced ALD indicates that other factors are involved. Several risk factors for ALD have been identified (Figure 1). These include sex, obesity, drinking patterns, dietary factors, non–sex-linked genetic factors, and cigarette smoking (Figure 1).11–13 Female sex is a well-documented risk factor for susceptibility to ALD; the increased risk among women likely results from lower levels of gastric alcohol dehydrogenase, a higher proportion of body fat, and the presence of estrogens. Obesity represents another important risk factor that accelerates fibrosis progression and the development of cirrhosis in ALD.14,15 Experimental studies indicate that the synergistic effects of obesity and alcohol abuse involve the endoplasmic reticulum response to cell stress, type I macrophage activation, and adiponectin resistance.16 Daily or near-daily heavy drinking, begun at an early age, increases the risk of the development of severe forms of ALD compared with episodic or binge drinking.17 Genetic factors might also influence susceptibility to advanced ALD, but little data are available. Variations in genes that encode antioxidant enzymes, cytokines and other inflammatory mediators, and alcohol-metabolizing enzymes could have a role.13 Also, recent studies indicate that variations in patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing protein 3 (PNPLA3) affect development of alcoholic cirrhosis in white alcoholic subjects.18–20 Despite the strong link between the PNPLA3 polymorphisms and fatty liver diseases, deletion of this gene did not affect obesity-associated fatty liver or levels of liver enzymes in mice fed a high-fat diet.21 Further studies are required to clarify the role of PNPLA3 variants in the pathogenesis of ALD.

Finally, long-term alcohol drinking has synergistic effects with hepatitis virus B or C and/or human immunodeficiency virus infection, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, and disorders such as hemochromatosis to accelerate progression of liver diseases. For example, many patients with viral hepatitis consume alcohol, which accelerates progression of liver fibrosis, cirrhosis, and HCC, likely via multiple mechanisms.22,23 A greater understanding of the interaction between alcohol and these comorbid factors could help us design better therapies for the treatment of chronic liver disease.

Pathogenesis

Alcoholic Fatty Liver (Steatosis)

Steatosis, the earliest response of the liver to alcohol abuse, is characterized by the accumulation of fat (mainly triglycerides, phospholipids, and cholesterol esters) in hepatocytes. Early studies indicated that alcohol consumption increases the ratio of reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide/oxidized nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide in hepatocytes, which disrupts mitochondrial
β-oxidation of fatty acids and results in steatosis. Alcoholic intake has also been shown to augment the supply of lipids to the liver from the small intestine, increasing mobilization of fatty acids from adipose tissue and uptake of fatty acids by the liver. However, the contribution of these mechanisms to the development of steatosis after long-term alcohol consumption is not clear and requires further investigation.

Recent studies indicate that alcohol exposure, directly or indirectly, regulates lipid metabolism-associated transcription factors; this stimulates lipogenesis and inhibits fatty acid oxidation (Figure 2). Ethanol increases fatty acid synthesis in hepatocytes via up-regulation of sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1c (SREBP-1c), a transcription factor that promotes fatty acid synthesis via up-regulation of lipogenic genes. Alcohol consumption could directly increase transcription of SREBP-1c gene via its metabolite acetaldehyde or indirectly up-regulate SREBP-1c expression by activating processes and factors that stimulate SREBP-1c expression, such as the endoplasmic reticulum response to cell stress, adenosine, endocannabinoids, LPS signaling via Toll-like receptor (TLR) 4, and its downstream proteins, including IRF-3, Egr-1, or tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α. Alcohol also down-regulates factors that reduce SREBP-1c expression, such as AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), Sirtuin1, adiponectin, and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3). Disruption of SREBP-1c in mice reduced ethanol-induced fatty liver, indicating its role in ALD.

Alcohol consumption inhibits fatty acid oxidation in hepatocytes mainly via inactivation of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)-α, a nuclear hormone receptor that controls transcription of a range of genes involved in free fatty acid transport and oxidation. The ethanol metabolite acetaldehyde, but not ethanol itself, directly inhibits the transcriptional activation activity and DNA-binding ability of PPAR-α in hepatocytes. Ethanol consumption can also indirectly inhibit PPAR-α via up-regulation of cytochrome P450 2E1-de-
rived oxidative stress\(^43\) and adenosine,\(^28\) both of which inhibit PPAR-\(\alpha\), or via down-regulation of adiponectin\(^44\) and zinc,\(^45\) which each activate PPAR-\(\alpha\).

In addition to regulating fat metabolism–associated transcription factors, ethanol can also affect the activities of enzymes involved in fat metabolism by inhibiting AMPK, which reduces fat metabolism and fatty liver. AMPK is a serine-threonine kinase that can phosphorylate and subsequently inactivate acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), a rate-limiting enzyme for fatty acid synthesis. Inactivation of ACC also reduces levels of malonyl-CoA, a precursor in fatty acid synthesis and an inhibitor of carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1, a rate-limiting enzyme for fatty acid oxidation.\(^46\) In addition, AMPK directly phosphorylates and inhibits SREBP activity in hepatocytes, thereby attenuating steatosis.\(^47\) In this manner, AMPK inhibits fatty acid synthesis but promotes fatty acid oxidation via the inactivation of ACC enzyme activity. Alcohol consumption inhibits AMPK activity in the liver, leading to decreased phosphorylation and increased activity of ACC and decreased activity of carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1; each has an important role in the development of alcoholic fatty liver.\(^35\)

Ethanol-induced steatosis is markedly reduced in many strains of mice, including HIF-1\(^{-/-}\),\(^48\) C3\(^{-/-}\),\(^49\) Clq\(^{-/-}\),\(^50\) PKCe\(^{-/-}\),\(^51\) and iNOS\(^{-/-}\),\(^52\) indicating that regulation of these genes also contributes to the pathogenesis of alcoholic fatty liver. However, the underlying mechanisms remain to be determined.

Finally, autophagy has an important role in removing lipid droplets in hepatocytes.\(^53\) Long-term alcohol consumption inhibits autophagy.\(^54,55\) However, a recent study showed that short-term ethanol exposure activates autophagy by generating reactive oxygen species and inhibiting the mammalian target of rapamycin, indicating that acute ethanol activation of autophagy could have a compensatory role that prevents development of steatosis during the early stages of alcoholic liver injury.\(^56\) The inhibitory and stimulatory effects of ethanol on autophagy require further studies to clarify.

**AH**

AH is a syndrome characterized by infiltration of the liver by inflammatory cells and hepatocellular injury. AH develops in patients with steatosis and is usually associated with progressive fibrosis. The prevalence of AH has not been accurately determined; it is believed to occur in 10% to 35% of heavy drinkers. AH includes a spectrum of diseases that range from mild injury to severe, life-threatening injury.\(^11,57\) The histologic characteristics of AH include centrilobular ballooning of hepatocytes, neutrophilic infiltration, Mallory–Denk hyaline inclusions, steatosis, and a “chicken wire”–like pattern of fibrosis. In many cases, there is underlying cirrhosis.\(^11,57\) A large body of evidence indicates that many factors contribute to alcohol-induced inflammation (Figure 3).

**Hepatotoxicity of ethanol.** In hepatocytes, ethanol is primarily metabolized into acetaldehyde by alcohol dehydrogenase in the cytosol, cytochrome P450 in microsomes, and catalase in peroxisomes. Ethanol metabolism generates reactive oxygen species and causes lipid peroxidation, mitochondrial glutathione depletion, and S-adenosylmethionine depletion; all of these products subsequently prime and sensitize hepatocytes to injury. Acetaldehyde is rapidly metabolized into acetate by aldehyde dehydrogenase in mitochondria. Acetaldehyde is a reactive compound; it is highly toxic to hepatocytes because it forms a variety of protein and DNA adducts that promote glutathione depletion, lipid peroxidation, and mitochondrial damage.\(^58,59\) The acetate that results from acetaldehyde breakdown is rapidly released from the liver into the circulation and is then metabolized into \(\text{CO}_2\) via the TCA cycle in heart, skeletal muscle, and brain. Although acetate has no direct hepatotoxicity, it is believed to regulate the inflammatory response in patients with AH via the up-regulation of proinflammatory cytokines in macrophages.\(^60,61\)

**Hepatocyte apoptosis.** Hepatocyte apoptosis is an important pathologic feature of human ALD. Apoptosis results from multiple mechanisms, including ethanol-mediated hepatotoxicity, induction of oxidative stress, inhibition of survival genes (c-Met), and induction of proapoptotic signaling molecules (TNF-\(\alpha\) and Fas ligand).\(^62,63\)

**Activation of innate immunity.** Alcohol consumption not only causes enteric dysbiosis and bacterial overgrowth\(^64\) but also increases gut permeability and the translocation of bacteria-derived LPS from the gut to the liver.\(^65\) These changes affect each other and ultimately contribute to the increased levels of LPS observed in patients with ALD. In Kupffer cells, LPS interacts with TLR4 to activate the MyD88-independent (TRIF/IRF-3) signaling pathway, leading to production of oxidative stress and proinflammatory cytokines, including TNF-\(\alpha\), that cause hepatocellular damage.\(^30,31,33,34\) Alcohol consumption also activates complement C3 and C5, which subsequently activate Kupffer cells via binding to their receptors on these cells; complement activation is followed by production of TNF-\(\alpha\) and induction of hepatocyte injury.\(^49,50,64\) Interestingly, activation of TLR4 and complement factors also cause Kupffer cells to produce hepatoprotective cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-6 and anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-10. These cytokines activate STAT3 in hepatocytes and macrophages/Kupffer cells, respectively, to prevent alcohol-induced liver injury and inflammation.\(^38,67,68\) Collectively, the activation of innate immunity components not only initiates alcoholic liver injury but also triggers hepatoprotective, regenerative, and anti-inflammatory responses that reduce alcohol-induced hepatocellular damage.

**Infiltration by neutrophils.** Infiltration of liver by parenchymal neutrophils is a prominent feature of AH. Up-regulation of IL-8, CXCL1 (Gro-\(\alpha\)), and IL-17 in liver contributes to this infiltration and the severity of AH.\(^69-71\) Multiple factors stimulate parenchymal and nonparenchymal cells to produce these chemokines and cytokines in patients with AH. These include acetaldehyde, LPS,
TNF-α, palmitic acid, and down-regulation of proteasome functions. IL-17, which is increased in patients with AH, not only directly induces neutrophil recruitment but also stimulates hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) to produce IL-8 and CXCL1; this is followed by induction of neutrophil infiltration. In addition, IL-8 and CXCL1 are secreted by activated HSCs and Kupffer cells, which might promote recruitment of neutrophils. Furthermore, many other chemokines (eg, CXCL5, CXCL6, CXCL4) and cytokines (eg, TNF-α, IL-1, osteopontin) are markedly up-regulated and could promote infiltration of neutrophils during progression of AH. Although neutrophil infiltration correlates with the severity of AH, because there is no rodent model of this disease, no direct experimental evidence supports the role of neutrophils in pathogenesis. Studies from other liver injury models in rodents indicate that, after systemic activation, neutrophils migrate into the liver parenchyma and subsequently kill sensitized hepatocytes by releasing reactive oxygen species and proteases, likely contributing to alcoholic liver injury.

Activation of adaptive immunity. Patients with AH have increased levels of circulating antibodies against lipid peroxidation adducts and increased numbers of T cells in the liver, indicating that activation of adaptive immunity is involved in the pathogenesis of ALD, including AH. Long-term alcohol consumption increases oxidative stress, which generates lipid peroxidation products such as malondialdehyde and 4-hydroxynonenal; these compounds can modify many proteins, inducing the formation of protein adducts that can serve as antigens in the adaptive immune response, resulting in the accumulation of T and B cells in the liver.

Inhibition of liver regeneration. The liver can regenerate after injury or loss of tissue; recovery of liver mass occurs mainly via proliferation of remaining adult hepatocytes, biliary epithelial cells, and endothelial cells. Under pathogenic conditions in which proliferation of hepatocytes is inhibited, liver progenitor cells (oval cells or ductular hepatocytes) proliferate and differentiate into hepatocytes or biliary epithelial cells. Although long-term alcohol consumption inhibits hepatocyte proliferation after partial hepatectomy in a rodent model, the effect of alcohol on hepatocyte proliferation in patients has not been explored. It is probable that long-term alcohol consumption not only causes hepatocyte death but also inhibits hepatocyte proliferation in patients, thereby contributing to the pathogenesis of ALD.

Alcoholic Fibrosis

Liver fibrosis is a wound-healing response to virtually all forms of chronic liver injury; it is characterized by...
by excessive accumulation of collagen and other extracellular matrix proteins. Activated HSCs are the major source of the increased production of extracellular matrix proteins, along with portal fibroblasts and bone marrow–derived myofibroblasts. Hepatocellular damage increases levels of and activates many cytokines, chemokines, neuroendocrine factors, angiogenic factors, and components of the innate immune system that subsequently induce HSC activation and fibrogenesis. Most of these fibrogenic mechanisms occur during alcoholic liver fibrogenesis, whereas some unique mechanisms also contribute to alcoholic liver fibrosis (Figure 4). LPS activates TLR4. Increased serum levels of LPS are commonly found in patients with ALD. LPS not only stimulates Kupffer cells to produce reactive oxygen species and cytokines that subsequently promote activation of HSCs but also directly activates HSCs via TLR4. LPS can also activate TLR4 signaling in liver sinusoidal endothelial cells, resulting in the regulation of angiogenesis and subsequent progression of fibrogenesis. Collectively, TLR4 signaling in immune cells (Kupffer cells) and liver nonparenchymal cells (HSCs and endothelial cells) therefore contribute to the pathogenesis of fibrosis, which is further supported by a recent report using chimeric mice in which wild-type mice underwent transplant with bone marrow cells from TLR4 knockout mice and vice versa. Activation of HSCs by acetaldehyde. Acetaldehyde is produced mainly by hepatocytes and acts on HSCs in a paracrine manner; it directly increases expression of collagen I in HSCs via activation of multiple signaling pathways and transcription factors. Acetaldehyde reacts rapidly with cellular components, producing adducts such as malondialdehyde, 4-hydroxynonenal, and malondialdehyde-acetaldehyde, which help maintain HSC activation. Ethanol suppresses antifibrotic effects. Activated natural killer cells inhibit liver fibrosis by destroying activated HSCs or producing interferon (IFN)-γ, which directly induces HSC cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. These could play an important role in preventing progression of liver fibrosis in humans but are blocked by long-term alcohol consumption. Disrupted activity of natural killer cells and IFN-γ could be important factors in the pathogenesis of alcoholic liver fibrosis and alcohol-mediated acceleration of liver fibrosis in patients with viral hepatitis.
Epigenetics, miRNA, and Stem Cells

Epigenetics is the study of heritable changes in phenotype (appearance) or gene expression that are caused by mechanisms other than alterations in DNA coding sequences. Epigenetic modifications include DNA methylation, histone modifications, and RNA-based mechanisms. Ethanol consumption causes epigenetic changes that contribute to alcohol-induced organ damage, including ALD. In an extensive study, ethanol affected metabolism of methionine and thereby DNA methylation. Methionine metabolism occurs primarily in the liver, where homocysteine is methylated to methionine and then S-adenosylmethionine (SAMe) in a transmethylation reaction catalyzed by methionine adenosyltransferase. SAMe is a principal methyl donor in methylation and has an important role in inducing DNA and histone methylation. Long-term ethanol consumption reduces hepatic levels of SAMe and DNA and histone methylation, increasing expression of genes that regulate the endoplasmic reticulum stress response and alcoholic liver injury. Alcohol feeding has been shown to modulate histone methylation and acetylation in livers of mice, although the mechanism by which ethanol induces these modifications and their roles in the pathogenesis of ALD are not known. Exposure to ethanol or its metabolite acetate up-regulates histone acetylation in macrophages, contributing to the up-regulation of several proinflammatory cytokines that could promote AH.

miRNAs are short, noncoding RNAs that are an average of only 22 nucleotides long; they control expression of genes involved in cell growth, differentiation, and apoptosis and are believed to be involved in the pathogenesis of liver disease, especially cancer. Several studies have examined the role of miRNAs in liver disorders such as ALD. Ethanol exposure up-regulates miRNA-155 in macrophages, which increases TNF-α production (via increased mRNA stability); short-term ethanol exposure up-regulates miRNA-212 in intestinal epithelial cells, which down-regulates zonula occludens-1 protein, a factor that maintains intestinal permeability. Expression of liver miRNAs has also been shown to be significantly altered in ethanol-fed mice, but the functions of these miRNAs in the pathogenesis of ALD are not clear.

The number of oval cells (liver progenitor cells) is significantly increased in patients with ALD; it correlates with disease severity and might increase the risk of alcoholic liver cancer. There is also evidence that bone marrow–derived stem cells contribute to the pathogenesis of ALD. However, in early-stage clinical studies, infusion of autologous, expanded, mobilized, adult bone marrow–derived CD34+ stem cells (or in vivo mobilization of these cells by injections of granulocyte colony–stimulating factor) did benefit patients with ALD. It is therefore important to clarify the pathogenic and therapeutic roles of CD34+ bone-derived stem cells in patients with ALD.

Current Therapies and Future Approaches

Patients with ALD are most commonly treated with approaches to eliminate alcohol intake; continued alcohol ingestion is the single most important risk factor for progression of the disease. Abstinence is also critical for patients with advanced disease who could eventually require liver transplantation, because patients who actively engage in alcohol consumption are not eligible for most transplantation programs. Referral to rehabilitation programs, in combination with family support, is usually necessary. Some patients also require specific pharmacologic treatment. Disulfiram, an irreversible inhibitor of alcohol dehydrogenase, is frequently prescribed to treat alcoholism but is not recommended for patients with advanced ALD because of potential severe hepatotoxicity. Anticraving drugs (e.g., acamprosate) are effective at preventing relapses, but special care must be taken because of the potential hepatotoxicity of some of these drugs. An agonist of the γ-aminobutyric acid B receptor, baclofen, has been found to be effective in maintaining abstinence and is safe even for cirrhotic patients. The opioid antagonist naltrexone has been shown to reduce relapse, although its efficacy is modest.

There are no approved antifibrotic drugs to prevent disease progression in patients with moderate ALD. The extent of liver fibrosis can be estimated by liver biopsy analysis or with noninvasive tools such as elastography or measurements of serum markers. Clinical trials are hampered by the poor compliance of patients with alcohol abuse and by the fact that patients enrolled in therapeutic studies often modify their drinking behavior, which can have a positive influence on disease severity. Once cirrhosis is established, abstinent patients usually have slower disease progression than those consuming alcohol. Moreover, patients with persisting alcohol intake...
can develop some degree of alcoholic steatohepatitis, which increases the risk of decompensation (conditions such as ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, variceal bleeding, or renal dysfunction) and HCC. There is no evidence that different management strategies prevent clinical decompensation in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis compared with other causes of cirrhosis, other than encouragement of alcohol intake cessation.

AH is a severe form of ALD; its treatment has been summarized in many excellent reviews. We briefly summarize the treatment of AH, focusing mainly on recently identified potential therapeutic targets (Figure 5).

**Treatment of AH**

**Supportive treatment.** Patients with severe AH could require admission to an intensive care unit. The airway should be protected in patients with acute alcoholic intoxication or an advanced degree of hepatic encephalopathy. The use of benzodiazepines is contraindicated in these patients. Given the potential risk of Wernicke encephalopathy among alcoholic and malnourished patients, the administration of B-complex vitamins is often required. A daily protein intake of 1.5 g/kg body wt has been proposed. Patients with AH are predisposed to develop severe infections, so early diagnosis and empiric antibiotic treatment are advised.

**Corticosteroids.** The efficacy of corticosteroids in treating patients with AH is controversial, with different findings from individual studies and meta-analyses. Several meta-analyses reported that corticosteroids increased patient survival times, and one did not support use of corticosteroids because of the heterogeneity of the clinical trials and the high risk of bias. Nevertheless, the current American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases practice guidelines recommend the use of corticosteroids for patients with severe AH, defined by Maddrey’s discriminant function or hepatic encephalopathy. Patients must also be intensively monitored for evidence of infections, which occur in almost 25% of patients during corticosteroid treatment and are associated with a poor prognosis. The decision to stop corticosteroids can be based on calculation of the Lille Model after 7 days of treatment; a Lille Model score greater than 0.45 indicates failure to respond to corticosteroid treatment and predicts a 6-month survival rate of less than 25%. Severe acute AH is associated with significant lymphocyte corticosteroid insensitivity, which can be reduced ex vivo by theophylline administration or reagents that block the IL-2 receptor. These drugs might therefore improve the efficacy of corticosteroids in treating AH.

**Pentoxifylline.** Pentoxifylline is a phosphodiesterase inhibitor that blocks transcription of TNF-α to decrease serum levels of the gene product. Pentoxifylline can be used to treat patients with severe AH who cannot be given corticosteroids. It was shown to reduce the mortality of patients with severe AH, which was related to de-
creased development of hepatorenal syndrome. However, this effect was not appreciable after adjustment for multiple testing. Importantly, pentoxifylline was not effective as rescue therapy for patients who did not respond to corticosteroids.

**Anti–TNF-α agents.** TNF-α has an important role in the pathogenesis of ALD in animal models, so there have been several clinical studies of the effects of infliximab or etanercept (reagents that block this cytokine) in patients with AH. Early-stage studies showed positive results in terms of survival and safety, but later-stage clinical trials showed that these drugs actually increased mortality and risk of infection among patients with AH. These reagents are therefore not recommended for the treatment of AH.

**Nutrition therapy.** Alcoholic patients often experience protein calorie malnutrition, which can promote bacterial infections. Nutritional support is recommended in patients with AH; it improves liver function and results from histologic analyses and might increase survival times based on results of short-term follow-up studies. An early-stage trial showed that administration of SAMe as a supplemental agent significantly decreased mortality and need for liver transplantation among patients with ALD; it had a favorable safety profile. However, in a Cochrane report, there was no evidence to support the use of SAMe in treatment of patients with ALD. Long-term, high-quality, randomized trials are required to establish its therapeutic effects.

**Liver transplantation.** Liver transplantation has been used to treat patients with decompensated ALD. Outcomes are equal to or better than those obtained when it is used to treat end-stage liver disease from other causes. Several liver transplantation centers have therefore proposed that this be a rescue option for patients with severe AH who do not respond to medical therapy and are unlikely to survive the mandatory, 6-month abstinence period but who fulfill all other standard criteria for transplantation, including a thorough psychosocial evaluation. Other therapies. Androgen corticosteroids have been used in attempts to improve the nutritional status of patients with AH. Although initial trials with oxandrolone had positive results, they were not confirmed in further studies; no benefit was shown in a meta-analysis. Propranolol, an antithyroid drug, has also been evaluated for the treatment of acute AH. A meta-analysis of 6 clinical trials showed that propranolol did not affect survival times and was associated with adverse effects. Because ALD is associated with increased levels of oxidative stress, a number of studies have investigated the benefits of antioxidants (eg, vitamin E and silymarin). Unfortunately, in early-stage studies, survival times of patients with AH did not increase. However, a study that evaluated the potential benefit of combining N-acetylcysteine with corticosteroids showed an increased number of patients survived a short follow-up period compared with controls.

**New Targets**

The identification of therapeutic targets for ALD has been hampered by the fact that in most animal models, the extent of liver injury is mild; animals do not develop liver failure or severe portal hypertension. Animal models are urgently needed that have the features of liver injury in patients with severe forms of ALD so we can test the effects of targeting factors found to be involved in pathogenesis. Liver samples from patients with ALD are probably most suited to identify therapeutic targets because serum levels of cytokines such as TNF-α may correlate with disease severity but are likely to have less pathophysiological significance due to confounding factors such as impaired liver clearance or bacterial infections. A straightforward approach would be to investigate the expression and/or activation of different mediators in liver tissues from patients and correlate these with disease severity and patient outcomes and then test the biological significance of these factors in animal models. The following are examples of therapeutic targets that fulfill several of these criteria.

**CXC chemokines.** The CXC family of chemokines includes IL-8 and Gro-α; these usually attract neutrophils, which infiltrate livers of patients with ALD. In patients with AH, hepatic expression of CXC chemokines is increased and correlates with survival time and the degree of portal hypertension. Reagents that target CXC chemokines might be developed as therapeutics for AH.

**IL-22.** IL-22 is a member of the IL-10 family of cytokines, which control bacterial infection, homeostasis, and tissue repair. IL-22 might be used to treat patients with ALD because of its antioxidant, antiapoptotic, anti-steatotic, proliferative, and antimicrobial effects. In addition, the side effects of IL-22 could be minimal, because the IL-22 receptor is only expressed on epithelial cells such as hepatocytes. Corticosteroids, which are widely used to treat AH, have well-documented side effects that include increased risk of infection. Corticosteroids and IL-22 could therefore be a good combination treatment for AH, because IL-22 might overcome the corticosteroid-mediated promotion of infection.

**Complement.** Activation of complement is an important step in the development of ethanol-induced liver injury in mice. Therapeutic interventions to either block complement activation or increase the activity of negative regulators of complement might be used to treat patients with ALD. Several compounds that inhibit complement activation are in phase 1 or 2 trials for the treatment of age-related macular degeneration. These drugs may be developed to treat patients with ALD.

**Gut microbiota and LPS pathway.** Modulation of the gut microbiota and LPS pathways might also be used to treat patients with ALD. The gut microbiota and LPS...
signaling can be modified by probiotics and TLR4 antagonists, respectively, with the latter being proposed as therapeutic agents for the treatment of chronic liver diseases, including ALD. Results from a placebo-controlled trial recently showed that the nonabsorbable antibiotic rifaximin, which modifies the gut microbiota, protected patients from hepatic encephalopathy. Reagents that alter the gut microbiota might also prevent ALD, so further studies are required.

**Inhibition of apoptosis.** Apoptosis is a prominent feature of chronic liver disease, so apoptosis inhibitors have been investigated in animal models of liver injury and patients with chronic liver diseases. Multiple clinical trials have shown that various caspase inhibitors reduced liver injury and fibrosis in patients with chronic HCV infection or nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. ALD is also associated with significant levels of hepatocyte apoptosis, so inhibitors might be a treatment option for ALD.

**Osteopontin.** Osteopontin is an extracellular matrix protein that is markedly up-regulated in patients with ALD. The basal expression levels of osteopontin correlate with disease severity (R. Bataller et al, unpublished data, September 2011), indicating that osteopontin contributes to the pathogenesis of ALD. Blockade of osteopontin might be effective for amelioration of ALD.

**Endocannabinoids.** Endocannabinoids have been shown to be involved in the pathogenesis of ALD, signaling through cannabinoid receptor (CB) 1 and CB2. CB1-deficient mice are resistant whereas CB2-deficient mice are more susceptible to ethanol-induced fatty liver and hepatocellular damage. These findings indicate that CB1 antagonists and CB2 agonists could be therapeutic agents for the management of ALD. Because the neuropsychiatric side effects of CB1 antagonists limit their therapeutic potential for the treatment of liver disease, the peripherally restricted CB1 antagonists have been actively explored and might offer therapeutic benefits for patients with ALD.

**NOSTRIN.** NOSTRIN regulates synthesis of nitric oxide, an effector of chronic liver diseases. Patients with ALD have increased hepatic levels of NOSTRIN protein and messenger RNA; this might contribute to the decreased enzymatic activity of endothelial nitric oxide synthase and was associated with more severe portal hypertension. Further studies are needed to determine whether NOSTRIN mediates the hemodynamic derangements observed in patients with AH. It could be a therapeutic target for reducing the increased hepatic resistance observed in patients with AH.

**Conclusions**

ALD is a major cause of advanced liver disease worldwide. Major advances in understanding its mechanisms of pathogenesis have been made at the experimental level using animal models. Translational studies, using human liver samples, have identified new therapeutic targets. However, translation of basic and translational research findings into new therapies has been modest. Future efforts should be directed toward identifying the main factors that promote disease in patients with moderate and severe ALD to develop new therapies.
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